

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/664,211 | 09/17/2003 | Purakh Raj Verma | CS01-132 | 3898 |
| 7 | 590 08/23/2004 | • | EXAMINER | |
| GEORGE O. SAILE | | | LINDSAY JR, WALTER LEE | |
| 28 DAVIS AV POUGHKEEP | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| | • | | 2812 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 08/23/2004 | 4 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | T | | - th |
|--|---|---|-----------|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| Office Author O many | 10/664,211 | VERMA ET AL. | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Walter L. Lindsay, Jr. | 2812 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply | pears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence addres | :s |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply by within the statutory minimum of thirty (3) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH because the application to become ABAN | y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this commu DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | nication. |
| Status | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | |
| | s action is non-final. | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | nce except for formal matters | s, prosecution as to the me | rits is |
| closed in accordance with the practice under the | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 | 1, 453 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | |
| 4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-22 are subject to restriction and/or | wn from consideration. | | |
| Application Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc | cepted or b) objected to by | the Examiner. | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abeyance | . See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E. | • | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | ts have been received. ts have been received in Appority documents have been re nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | olication No oceived in this National Stag | ge |
| Attachment(s) | _ | • | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview Sun | nmary (PTO-413) ⁄Iail Date | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | rmal Patent Application (PTO-152 | 2) |

Application/Control Number: 10/664,211 Page 2

Art Unit: 2812

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 17-22 are, drawn to a MOSFET, classified in class 257, subclass1+.
- II. Claims 1-16 are, drawn to making a MOSFET, classified in class 438, subclass 100.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions Group II and Group I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of the group I invention would not necessarily imply the unpatentability of the group II invention, since the device of the group I invention could be made by processes materially different from those of the group II invention, for example, the first dielectric layer of the device does not have to undergo planarization.
- 3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,211 Page 3

Art Unit: 2812

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

- 5. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Walter L. Lindsay, Jr. whose telephone number is (571) .

272-1674. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John F Niebling can be reached on (571) 272-1679. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,211 Page 4

Art Unit: 2812

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Walter L. Lindsay, Jr. Examiner
Art Unit 2812

August 20 200%

gust 20, 2004